1	PLANNIN	G COMMISSION WORKSHOP MINUTES
2		Nr. 17 2001
3		May 16, 2001
4 5		
<i>5</i>	CALL TO ORDER:	Chairman Vlad Voytilla called the workshop to
7	CHEE TO ORDER.	order at 6:49 p.m. in the Beaverton City Hall
8		Council Chambers at 4755 SW Griffith Drive.
9		
10	ROLL CALL:	Present were Chairman Vlad Voytilla, Planning
11		Commissioners Bob Barnard, Gary Bliss, Eric
12		Johansen and Brian Lynott. Planning
13		Commissioners Chuck Heckman and Dan Maks
14		were excused.
15		
16		Development Services Manager Irish Bunnell,
17		Principal Planner Hal Bergsma and Recording
18 19		Secretary Sandra Pearson represented staff.
20		
21		
22	Chairman Voy	ytilla called the workshop to order.
23	Chambran Vo.	wind cancer and workshop to order.
24	Principal Plan	nner Hal Bergsma mentioned that this workshop session had been
25	initiated by Co	ommunity Development Director Joe Grillo in order to allow staff to
26	provide some	indication of upcoming projects and to consider hearings on when
27	these projects	should occur in light of planned meeting absences of Planning
28	Commissioner	rs for vacations, etc.
29		
30	Mr. Bergsma	described several projects and when action would occur, as follows:
31	1	Mayle Ctation Area Dlan Dublic Heaving schools of far Ivac
32	1.	Merlo Station Area Plan – Public Hearing scheduled for June 6,
33 34		2001;
35	2.	Remand of Land Use Element Issues – Continued Public Hearing
36	2.	scheduled for June 6, 2001;
37		50110001100 101 10110 0, 2001,
38	3.	Tree Inventory and Protection – Work Session scheduled for July
39		11, 2001 and Public Hearing scheduled for August 29, 2001;
40		-
41	4.	Transportation Systems Plan Update – Work Session scheduled for
42		August 1, 2001 and Public Hearings scheduled for October and
43		November, 2001; and

5. 1 Amendments to Development Code Chapters 40 and 50, with some of Chapters 10, 20, 60 and 90 – Work Sessions scheduled in June 2 2001 and Public Hearings scheduled for July 2001. 3 4 Development Services Director Irish Bunnell displayed a copy of the existing 5 Development Code and the proposed amendments, emphasizing that the end 6 7 result would be a pretty lefty document. He pointed out that it is not possible to determine how long this process would take beyond the Work Sessions, which he 8 estimated would begin in July, 2001, rather than June, 2001. 9 10 Chairman Voytilla suggested breaking the document up into smaller portions, and 11 Mr. Bunnell advised him that it is necessary to work with the entire package. 12 13 Mr. Bergsma pointed out that the Public Hearings on the Code amendments 14 would probably occur in August or September 2001. 15 16 Mr. Bunnell agreed, adding that this major issue would involve several Public 17 Hearings. 18 19 20 Mr. Bergsma continued with his description of upcoming projects and when they would occur, as follows: 21 22 23 6. The Round Development and Disposition Agreement (DDA) – Work Session Scheduled for June or July 2001. 24 25 Mr. Bergsma observed that The Round has reverted back to the City of 26 Beaverton, noting that there would be a thirty-day exclusive negotiation period, 27 with a potential developer, *Dorn Platz*. 28 29 Chairman Voytilla questioned whether the City of Beaverton's position would be 30 that of a co-owner of the property. 31 32 Mr. Bergsma advised Chairman Voytilla that the property would be turned over to 33 the selected developer, adding that development application processing would 34 occur as quickly as possible after the DDA is signed. 35 36 Mr. Bergsma discussed additional upcoming projects, as follows: 37 38 7. Amend Comprehensive Plan Chapter 1 (procedures) – Public 39 Hearing scheduled for June or July 2001. 40 41 Observing that it is often difficult, Mr. Bergsma pointed out that it is now 42 necessary, according to a provision in Chapter 1, to notify surrounding residents 43 of any amendments to the Comprehensive Plan. On question, he advised 44 45 Commissioner Lynott that although it is not significant, staff is keeping track of the cost of mailing these notifications. 46

1	Chairman Voytilla mentioned that a potential problem with this issue relates to
2	testimony that might be received from renters, as opposed to testimony provided
3	by owners of the property affected.
4	
5	Commissioner Lynott pointed out that public involvement is an important part of
6	the process.
7	
8	Chairman Voytilla informed Commissioner Lynott that owners and renters have
9	different concerns.
10	
11	Commissioner Barnard questioned when a reprint of the updated Comprehensive
12	Plan would become available.
13	
14	Observing that issues regarding the Comprehensive Plan had been postponed due
15	to Measure 7, Mr. Bergsma informed Commissioner Barnard that this document
16	would most likely be adopted by ordinance sometime this fall and that staff would
17	attempt to make the document available within the next four or five months.
18	
19	Mr. Bergsma discussed another upcoming project, as follows:
20	
21	8. Amend Comprehensive Plan Public Facilities and Services
22	Element to reflect East Beaverton Urban Services Agreement -
23	Public Hearing scheduled for June or July 2001.
24	·
25	Observing that this is not on the list of projects, Mr. Bergsma discussed another
26	upcoming project, as follows:
27	
28	9. Urban Services Agreement/Urban Study Area between the City of
29	Hillsboro and the City of Beaverton.
30	
31	Mr. Bergsma pointed out that there has been some disagreement about the urban
32	service area boundary between Beaverton and Hillsboro involving two special
33	districts, specifically Tualatin Hills Park and Recreation District (THPRD) and
34	Tualatin Valley Fire and Rescue District (THFRD), observing that the City of
35	Hillsboro has their own fire district. He mentioned that potential boundaries
36	include 185 th Avenue and approximately 198 th Avenue.
37	
38	Referring to the current energy and water shortages, Commissioner Barnard
39	questioned the City of Beaverton's position in this situation.
40	1
41	Mr. Bergsma advised Commissioner Barnard that while water should not be an
42	issue, adequate power is questionable.
43	, I I I
44	Chairman Voytilla mentioned that he had met with Portland General Electric
45	(PGE), observing that they had adopted blackout policies and that specific grids
46	would be selected when necessary.
10	mode de delected when hecodedly.

45

1	Mr. Bergsma	discussed other upcoming projects, as follows:
2		
3	10.	Add new Public/Quasi-Public Zone – Public Hearing scheduled for
4		August or September 2001;
5		
6	11.	Amend the Urban Planning Area Agreement (UPAA) with
7		Washington County – Public Hearings scheduled for October and
8		November 2001;
9		
10	12.	Begin consideration of affordable housing tools as required by
11		Title 7 of Metro Urban Growth Management Functional Plan –
12		Work Sessions scheduled for November and December 2001; and
13		
14	13.	Apply new zoning in Beaverton's part of the Washington Square
15		Regional Center – Work Sessions and Public Hearings scheduled
16		for January and February 2002.
17		
18	The Planning	Commissioners discussed upcoming meeting dates and when they
19	anticipated no	ot being available due to vacation plans.
20		
21	Commissione	er Barnard and Chairman Voytilla pointed out that when packets are
22	sent through	the mail, they are often not received in a timely manner, noting that
23	they prefer	to receive this information Wednesday, if possible, and Friday
24	evening, at th	e latest.
25	_	
26	Mr. Bergsma	mentioned that it might become necessary for staff to personally
27	deliver these	packets.
28		
29	Commissione	er Barnard noted that all information should be three hole punched
30	and clearly m	narked indicating which project the information pertains to.
31	•	
32	Chairman Vo	bytilla suggested that more thorough Staff Reports could significantly
33	reduce the lea	ngth of the discussions and Public Hearings.
34		
35	Mr. Bergsma	emphasized that the evidence presented in the Staff Reports need to
36	support the fi	1
37	11	
38	Mr. Bunnell	pointed out that an application could be complete and still be
39		adding that while it is sometimes difficult to force an applicant to
40	<u>-</u>	cessary information, it should not be necessary for staff to perform
41	their work.	, , _P
42		
43	Commissione	er Johansen expressed concern that the City of Beaverton has a
44		very type of property.

1	Chairman Voytilla mentioned that financial issues often drag out a Public
2	Hearing, questioning whether a family wage job is even a relevant consideration.
3	
4	In response to a question, Mr. Bergsma said that necessary affordable housing, as
5	defined by Metro, is determined by the population at 50% or below the median
6	income in the area.
7	
8	Mr. Bunnell expressed his opinion that affordable is a subjective issue.
9	This Baillett empressed his opinion that affordable is a subjective issue.
10	Commissioner Barnard noted that there has been no precedence established for
11	the approval of monopole cellular telephone antennae towers.
12	the approval of monopole central telephone antennae towers.
13	Commissioner Bliss mentioned that the City of Beaverton should establish a
	master plan for all monopoles within the City.
14	master plan for all monopoles within the City.
15	Mr. Dynamil mainted out that manageles are not assembly addressed in the
16	Mr. Bunnell pointed out that monopoles are not currently addressed in the
17	Comprehensive Plan or the Development Code.
18	
19	Commissioner Bliss pointed out that although the City of Beaverton requires
20	under grounding of utilities or an in lieu payment, this is not done on some City
21	projects. Observing that money is an issue, he expressed his concern that a
22	developer might consider this a double standard.
23	
24	Commissioner Barnard commented that the Planning Commissioners should
25	receive notification of the outcome of any applications that are appealed to the
26	City Council, specifically whether the City Council overturns or upholds the
27	decision of the Planning Commission.
28	
29	Chairman Voytilla expressed his frustration with Planning Commission decisions
30	being overturned by the City Council.
31	
32	Mr. Bunnell advised Chairman Voytilla that he has conveyed this frustration to
33	the City Council and assured him that he would do so again.
34	
35	Commissioner Johansen pointed out that he is only aware of one application that
36	has been appealed in which the Planning Commission's decision had been upheld
37	by the City Council.
38	
39	Chairman Voytilla observed that the Planning Commission is gaining a reputation
40	as a technicality that an applicant can get around.
41	as a toomicality that an approant can get around.
42	Mr. Bergsma suggested an annual meeting with the City Council to discuss issues
43	such as this.
44	buen us uns.
45	Commissioner Lynott suggested that the Planning Commission meet with the City
	Council on a quarterly basis.
46	Council on a quarterly basis.

1	Mr. Bergsma advised Commissioner Lynott that a quarterly meeting with the City
2	Council is not necessary. He noted that the City Council and the Planning
3	Commission share the same codes, adding that there might be a difference in
4	interpretation.
5	
6	Chairman Voytilla questioned whether there is any recent news, training or
7	seminars available to Planning Commissions.
8	
9	Mr. Bergsma informed Chairman Voytilla that the <i>Livable Oregon Conference</i> is
10	scheduled in Welches, Oregon on June $14 - 15$, 2001, adding that he has a lot of
11	additional information that is available to the Planning Commissioners.
12	
13	MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS:

MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS:

14

15

The workshop adjourned at 8:30 p.m.